Cameron Court - September 11, 2026

Unofficial Working Transcript

Source: Cameron Court Sep 11 2026.m4a

Recording duration: approximately 2 minutes 30 seconds

Cameron Padilla matter: approximately 00:38-02:17. Brief courtroom conversation precedes it, and the court calls an unrelated matter afterward.

Important notes

- This is a careful working transcript, not a court-certified transcript.
- Speaker labels are inferred from context and may not identify every speaker correctly.
- [inaudible] means no reliable words could be recovered. [unclear] means the words are only partly audible. Bracketed wording is explanatory rather than a quotation.
- The defense attorney audibly identifies himself as **Cyrus Gill**; his full name in the case materials is Cyrus Corman-Gill.
- The prosecutor's name sounds like **Delphine Burns**. The surname of the assisting attorney identified as Francisco is less clear.
- Repeated words, interruptions, and incomplete sentences are retained when audible. Punctuation has been lightly added for readability.

Transcript

[00:06] **COURTROOM SPEAKER:** I think the dates are usually pretty light. In-custody is on Thursdays, from what I hear, but the Friday one is usually busy.

[00:12] **COURTROOM SPEAKER:** I have Ponciano, but I'm going to call the Padilla matter first. She's out of custody.

[00:16-00:38] [Pause and low-level courtroom activity.]

[00:38] **COURT:** All right. We're on the record for the morning pretrial conference calendar. Mr. Gill, you have matters that are ready?

[00:45] **DEFENSE COUNSEL:** Yes, Your Honor. I can call the Padilla matter. This is Cameron Padilla, 26-SM-004229-B.

[00:55] **DEFENSE COUNSEL:** And Cyrus Gill. I am here with Ms. Padilla. She is present and out of custody.

[01:00] **PROSECUTOR:** And good morning, Your Honor. Delphine Burns for the People on all matters this morning.

[01:03] **COURT:** And assisting you today, Ms. Burns?

[01:05] **PROSECUTOR:** Oh, and - and Francisco [Burns - surname unclear] also.

[01:07] **COURT:** All right. And, Mr. Gill, we have pretried the case. The People have given you an offer. The court's given you an indicated. How did you wish to proceed?

[01:15] **DEFENSE COUNSEL:** We are confirming our jury-trial date for September 24th, 2026.

[01:20] **COURT:** All right. That date is confirmed. Redwood City, 9:00. We'll see you then for your trial.

[01:25] **DEFENSE COUNSEL/DEFENDANT:** Thank you.

[01:26] **DEFENDANT:** Okay, so I just -

[01:27] **DEFENSE COUNSEL:** Hold on. Okay, just keep - give me a call. I'll [unclear] later.

[01:31] **DEFENDANT:** Thank you.

[01:31-01:39] [Brief pause and low-level courtroom conversation.]

[01:39] **CAMERON PADILLA:** And just for the record, I would request a CPO modification to no harassment or - no harassment or abuse, to put on the record, possibly the next court date.

[01:51] **COURT:** That would be your trial-call date.

[01:53] **CAMERON PADILLA:** Yeah. Okay. Okay, sorry.

[01:55] **COURT:** That's okay. I mean, ma'am, we did discuss the matter at length. The court does feel, at this juncture, with what I know from the parties, a full no-contact order is still appropriate. That could change in the future, and your attorney is welcome to request a change at any time during the proceedings. It will not change today, though.

[02:14] **CAMERON PADILLA:** Thank you.

[02:15] **COURT:** All right.

[02:15] **DEFENSE COUNSEL/DEFENDANT:** Thank you so much.

[02:16] **COURT:** All right. You're welcome.

[02:19] **COURT:** And then I can call the Ponciano matter.

[02:22] **COURT:** Calling next the matter of Jimmy Ponciano, 26-SM-012 [remaining case number not captured].

Key Audible Court Rulings and Dates

This section is a non-verbatim index to the transcript, included for convenient reference:

- Cameron Padilla was present and **out of custody**.
- The People had made an offer, and the court had provided an indicated disposition; neither was described on this recording.
- The **jury-trial date was confirmed for September 24, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. in Redwood City**.
- Cameron requested a modification of the criminal protective order (CPO) to terms concerning no harassment or abuse.
- The judge declined to modify the order that day and ruled that the **full no-contact order remained appropriate**.
- The judge stated that the order could change later and that Cameron's attorney could request a modification during the proceedings.